

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

				_	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,563	01/03/2002	Robert J. Ripich	1276-N	7118	
27542	7590 06/18/2004		EXAM	INER	
SAND & SEBOLT			BAXTER, J	BAXTER, JESSICA R	
	ER, SUITE 1100 ON STREET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANTON, O	Н 44718-3615		3731	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, 	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9			
	10/038,563	RIPICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jessica R Baxter	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sI Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH latute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this commur NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
, ,	This action is non-final.					
,						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St	B/08) Paper No(s). Paper No(s). Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	()			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Tongue Cleaning Device
 - i. FIGS. 1 and 2
 - ii. FIG. 27;
 - iii. FIGS. 24 and 25; and
 - iv. And FIG. 26.

Note: There appear to be many species related to the handle of the device (FIGS. 3-22) and to the cross-section of the scraping member (23A-X). These are not restricted at this time since there appear to be no claims directed to these different embodiments.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of

Application/Control Number: 10/038,563

Art Unit: 3731

an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica R Baxter whose telephone number is 703-305-4069. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on 703-308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,563

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jessica R Baxter Examiner Art Unit 3731

jrb

DAVID O. REIP PRIMARY EXAMINER